Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,686	NAKANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carlos Lopez	1731
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>fter Final</u>		
(1) <u>Carlos Lopez</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Randi Isaacs</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 March 2007	Time: <u>10am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed: 14-21		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G Applicant agreed to cancel claims 14-21 in order to advance		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepal directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	n. The examiner will provide a variate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
	•	
0		
1 3/1/09		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	ilicanii y vehiesentatiiv	e orginature – ii appropriate)